## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

## TYLER DIVISION

RAMON X. EVANS, #560052	§	
VS.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:08cv383
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID	§	

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The above-entitled and numbered civil action was heretofore referred to United States Magistrate Judge Judith K. Guthrie, who issued a Report and Recommendation concluding that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus concerning a prison disciplinary proceeding should be denied. The Petitioner has filed objections.

The Report of the Magistrate Judge, which contains her proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of such action, has been presented for consideration, and having made a *de novo* review of the objections raised by the Petitioner to the Report, the Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the objections of the Petitioner are without merit.

A fundamental tenet of federal habeas corpus law is that relief is unavailable unless a person has been deprived of a right secured by the United States Constitution or the laws of the United States. *Malchi v. Thaler*, 211 F.3d 953, 957 (5th Cir. 2000). Prisoners will not have a basis for federal habeas corpus relief regarding a prison disciplinary proceeding unless there is a liberty interest protected by the Due Process Clause. *Id.* The Fifth Circuit has made it clear that a prisoner may not obtain relief unless he or she lost good time and is eligible for release on mandatory supervision. *Id.* The Petitioner is not eligible for release on mandatory supervision, and the punishment he received did not involve a protected liberty interest. The Petitioner's arguments to the contrary lack merit. Federal habeas corpus relief is not available. Therefore the Court hereby

adopts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of the Court. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED** and the case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. All motions not previously ruled on are hereby **DENIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of November, 2008.

LEONARD DAVIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE